Abstract—Boneh and Shacham gave a nice survey on four variants (Batch RSA, MultiPrime RSA, MultiPower RSA, Rebalanced RSA). Rebalanced RSA and MultiPower RSA were then combined to increase the decryption/signature generation performance. This combination theoretically improves the decryption/signature generation time about 14 times than RSA with CRT and about 56 times than the standard RSA with key size 2048 bits. On the encryption side, it increased the encryption time, thus making encryption/signature verification very costly. Here in this paper we further tried to increase the encryption/signature verification performance. The proposed scheme is semantically secure also.

Index Terms: cryptosystem, encryption, signature verification, RSA-CRT, semantic security

I. INTRODUCTION

The RSA cryptosystem [1] is still a de-facto standard in all branches of public key cryptography. However, it is rapidly losing its attractiveness. This is mainly due to the enormous computation involved. Public key algorithms are 100 times slower than private key algorithms. Many researchers are trying to improve the computational efficiency.

In 2002, Boneh and Shacham [2] gave a very nice comparison of the variants of RSA (Batch RSA [3], MultiPrime RSA [4], MultiPower RSA [5], and Rebalanced RSA [6]). All these variants are improving the decryption/signature verification performance. Their work was further extended by Caesar [7] in 2003. He combined the two variants of RSA, MultiPrime RSA and Rebalanced RSA and gave the performance by improving the decryption/signature generation speed by 27 times to RSA and by 4.8 times to RSA with CRT for 2048 bit moduli. It is further improved by the combined approach of MultiPower RSA and Rebalanced RSA (i.e. RePower RSA [8]). RePower RSA gave the performance by theoretically improving the decryption/signature generation performance by 14 times to RSA with CRT and 56 times to standard RSA for 2048 bit moduli. Here in this paper we extending the work of RePower RSA, we are trying to increase the encryption speed with less compromising the decryption speed of RePower RSA.

The scheme is providing better performance; besides this it is providing semantic security, whereas RePower RSA is not having semantic security.

This is achieved with the help of DRSA [9] cryptosystem which is having the property of semantic security. The new scheme is also giving better performance as compared to DRSA cryptosystem.

In second section of the paper RSA cryptosystem and its variants are explained. In third section DRSA cryptosystem is reviewed. Then the proposed scheme is given to improve the encryption performance of RePower RSA and the security analysis is given with the comparison of proposed scheme with RePower RSA and DRSA. The paper is then concluded in the last section.

II. RSA

The RSA algorithm [1] was publicly described in 1977 by Ron Rivest, Adi Shamir and Leonard Adleman at MIT. In cryptography, RSA is an algorithm for public-key cryptography. It is the first algorithm known to be suitable for signing as well as encryption, and one of the first great advances in public key cryptography. RSA is widely used in electronic commerce protocols, and is believed to be secure given sufficiently long keys and the use of up-to-date implementations. Public-key cryptography utilizes an asymmetric cryptography technique with two keys, one public and one private. The keys are derived from the multiple of two large prime numbers. The private key can only be deduced from the public key by factoring the large multiple. RSA’s security comes from the difficulty in factoring very large numbers. Techniques for factoring numbers are improving, but the speed of all depend on the size of the number, which means they still take significant time. While the possibility exists that one day there will be an extraordinary leap in our ability to factor large numbers, it is unlikely and offers a minimal threat to RSA.

A. Working of RSA

In RSA [1] two keys are required, first is e and N (n bits) public and second is a number d that is kept secret. In order for A to send a message to B, A looks up B’s public values and, if the message is M (written as a number), then A divides the message into pieces of size less than N and sends $C = M^e \mod n$. Then B decodes by $M = C^d \mod N$. The security of the system lies in the choices of the public and private keys.

Key Generation of RSA

Footnote: Manuscript received June 6, 2011; revised July 8, 2011
Step 1. Two large random primes (p and q) of n/2 bits are generated such that their product N = pq is.
Step 2. N = pq and \( \phi(N) = (p-1)(q-1) \).
Step 3. Select an integer e (1 < e < \( \phi(N) \)), such that gcd(e, \( \phi(N) \)) = 1.
Step 4. Now Compute d, 1 < d < \( \phi(N) \), such that ed = 1 (mod \( \phi(N) \)).
Public key=(N, e) and Private key=(N, d).

**Encryption of RSA**
C=ciphertext, M= plaintext

**Step1.** Represents the plaintext message as a positive integer M.
**Step2.** C=M \( ^e \mod N \).

**Decryption of RSA**
Step1. M = C \( ^d \mod N \).
Step2. Extracts the plaintext from the corresponding integer message M.

Here we are concerned with decryption speed of the algorithm. Factors N and d are involved in the computation. Both are large numbers(approx. n bits long). The complexity of this algorithm is O(n^3).

**RSA Variants**
In 1982, Quisquater and Couvreur [10] introduced a fast deciphering algorithm to speed up the decryption process via Chinese Remainder Theorem, which was called QC RSA. It improves the standard RSA by the factor of 4. In 2002 Boneh and Shacham [2] gave the comparison of RSA variants (Batch RSA [3], MPrime RSA [4], MPower RSA [5] and Rebalanced RSA [6]) to enhance the performance of RSA cryptosystem. Here these variants are reviewed. RPrime [7] given by Caeser in 2002 is also given here. There comparisons are explained in [11]. Then the combined approach of MPower RSA and Rebalanced RSA (RePower RSA [8]) is described.

**B. Batch RSA**
Batch RSA variant [3] was introduced in 1989. In this variant if small public exponents are used for the same modulus N, the decryption of the two ciphertext can be done at the cost of one. Suppose C1 and C2 are the two ciphertext from message M1 and M2 respectively. To decrypt \( \text{C}_1 \mathbin{\hat{\times}} \mathbin{\hat{\times}} \text{mod} \ N \) and \( \text{C}_2 \mathbin{\hat{\times}} \mathbin{\hat{\times}} \text{mod} \ N \) are calculated. According to this variant both decryption processes can be merged to enhance the speed of the decryption algorithm.

Assume , A = \( \left( \text{C}_1 \mathbin{\hat{\times}} \mathbin{\hat{\times}} \text{C}_2 \right) \mathbin{\hat{\times}} \mathbin{\hat{\times}} \mathbin{\hat{\times}} \mathbin{\hat{\times}} \text{mod} \ N \),
Step 1. \( \text{C}_1 \mathbin{\hat{\times}} \mathbin{\hat{\times}} \text{mod} \ N \),
Step 2. \( \text{C}_2 \mathbin{\hat{\times}} \mathbin{\hat{\times}} \text{mod} \ N \).

Now we are able to decrypt both \( \text{C}_1 \) and \( \text{C}_2 \) at the cost of computing \( 15^{10} \). It takes the same time as when a single RSA decryption and some additional arithmetic is also involved. But the condition for this technique is small values of public exponents(e1, e2). Otherwise it will not increase the decryption speed; rather it will be more expensive. Also the modulus must be the same and public exponents must be distinct for both the messages.

The generalization of this method is given for b RSA ciphertexts. For the implementation of the technique, b relatively prime public keys e1, e2,...,eb sharing common modulus N are used. This technique describes b-batch process using a binary tree. For each i one computes \( \text{M}_i \) as:
\( \text{M}_i = \left( \text{C}_1 \mathbin{\hat{\times}} \mathbin{\hat{\times}} \text{C}_2 \mathbin{\hat{\times}} \mathbin{\hat{\times}} \ldots \mathbin{\hat{\times}} \mathbin{\hat{\times}} \text{C}_b \right) \mathbin{\hat{\times}} \mathbin{\hat{\times}} \text{mod} \ N \).
Where \( \alpha = 1 \text{ mod } e_i \),
And \( \alpha_i = 0 \text{ mod } e_j \) for \( j \neq i \)
Here since b and e_i's are small, the exponents in this equation are also small.

**Performance of Batch RSA**
Batch RSA decrypts simultaneously b messages with the approximate cost of a single exponentiation (of order of N) and some small exponentiations (using public exponents). According to Fiat [3] with standard 1024-bit keys, batching improves performance significantly.

With b=4, RSA decryption is enhanced by a factor of 2.6, with b=8, by a factor of almost 3.5.

**C. MultiPrime RSA**
MultiPrime RSA[4] was introduced in 1998. The RSA modulus was modified so that it consists of k primes p1, p2,..., pk instead of using only two. The algorithms of Key generation, Encryption and Decryption are described as:

---

**Key generation of MultiPrime RSA**
The key pairs (public and private) are generated according to the following steps (here k is the number of primes to be used in the variant):
Step 1. Compute k distinct primes p1... pk each (n/k) bits in length such that N=\( \prod_{i=1}^{k} p_i \).
Step 2. Compute e and d such that \( d = e^{-1} \mod (\Phi(N)) \), where gcd(e, \( \Phi(N) \)) = 1 and \( \Phi(N) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} (p_i - 1) \).
Step 3. For 1\leq i\leq k, compute \( d_i = d \mod (p_i - 1) \).

Public key = \( <N, e> \).
Private key = \( <N, d_1, d_2, ..., d_k> \).

**Encryption of MultiPrime RSA**
Encryption is same as in the original RSA, thus \( C = C^e \mod N \).

**Decryption of MultiPrime RSA**
The decryption is an extension of the Quisquater-Couvreur method. To decrypt a ciphertext C,
Step 1. Calculate \( M_i = C^{d_i} \mod p_i \) for each i, 1\leq i\leq k.
Step 2. Apply the CRT to the \( M_i \)'s to get \( M = C^d \mod N \).

**Performance of MultiPrime RSA**
The theoretical speedup[11] of this variant to the CRT RSA is given as follows:
\( S_{CRT} = \frac{2 (n/2)^3}{k (n/k)^3} = k^2/4 \)

---

**D. MultiPower RSA**
MultiPower RSA [5] was introduced in 1998. In this variant [5], N = \( p^{k-1}q \) where p and q are n/k bits. The three algorithms are described as below:

**Key generation of MultiPower RSA**
Step 1. Generate two primes p and q of [n/k]-bits each and compute \( N= p^{k-1}q \).
Step 2. Compute d=\( e^{-1} \mod (p-1)(q-1) \).
Step 3. Compute d1=\( d \mod (p-1) \) and d2=\( d \mod (q-1) \).

Public Keys\( =<N, e> \).
Private Key\( =<p, q, d1, d2> \).

**Encryption of MultiPower RSA**:
Same as in standard RSA.

**Decryption of MultiPower RSA**:
Step 1. \( M_i = C^{d_i} \mod p \) and \( M_i = C^{d_2} \mod q \).
Step 2. \( M_i = C \mod p \) and \( M_i = C \mod q \).
Step3. Using Hensel lifting[12], compute \( M_1' \), such that \((M_1')^e \equiv C \mod p^{k-1} \).
Step4. Using CRT, compute M such that \( M \equiv M_1' \mod p^k \) and \( M \equiv M_2 \mod q \) and then \( M \equiv C^k \mod N \).

**Performance of MultiPower RSA:**

Decryption takes two full exponentiation modulo \((n/k)\)-bit numbers and k-2 Hensel lifting [12]. Hensel lifting is much faster than exponentiation. So the speedup [11] over standard RSA (with CRT) is approximately:

\[
S_{CRT} = \frac{2(n/2)}{(n/k)^s} = k^{1/8}
\]

For \( k = 3 \) the theoretical speedup is about 3.38.

**E. Rebalanced RSA**

Rebalanced RSA [6] was introduced in 1990. This was designed according to specific requirements. E.g., in some applications, user would like to have the reverse behavior of the standard RSA, i.e. fast signature generation/decryption time, e.g., when a cell phone needs to generate an RSA signature that will later be verified on a fast server, one would like signing to be easier than verifying. Rebalanced RSA [6] fulfills this requirement by improving the performance of the decryption/signing algorithm by displacing the work to the encryption/verification algorithm. Because of the security reasons one can’t choose small value of \( d \). The values less than \( N^{0.52} \) for \( d \) are insecure. So \( d \) is chosen as a large number, say of the order of \( N \), but \( d \) mod \( p \) and \( d \) mod \( q \) are small numbers. Three algorithms of key generation, encryption and decryption are as follows:

**Key generation of Rebalanced RSA**

\( s = n/k \) bits

**Step1.** Generate two distinct random \((n/2)\)-bit prime numbers \( p \) \& \( q \) with \( \gcd(p-1, q-1) = 2 \), and calculate \( N = pq \).
**Step2.** Generate two \( s \)-bit random numbers \( d_p \) \& \( d_q \) such that \( \gcd(d_p \mod p-1, \gcd(d_q \mod q-1)) = 1 \), \( \gcd(d_p, p-1) = 1 \) and \( d_q \mod (p-1) = d_q \mod (p_q - 1) \).
**Step3.** Calculate one \( d \) such that \( d = d_p \mod p-1 \) and \( d = d_q \mod q-1 \).
**Step4.** Calculate \( e = d^{-1} \mod \Phi(N) \).
**Public key = \( \langle N, e \rangle \) and Private key = \( \langle p, q, d_p, d_q \rangle \).

**Decryption of RPrime RSA**

Same as in Standard RSA but with higher computation cost (\( e \) is large).

**Decryption of Rebalanced RSA:**

Same as in RSA with CRT.

**Performance of Rebalanced RSA:**

Speedup[11] over standard RSA with CRT is:

\[
S_{CRT} = \frac{n}{2s}
\]

For \( s = 160 \), the theoretical speedup is 6.4 times than RSA with CRT.

Rebalanced approach makes RSA encryption very time-consuming because the public exponent \( e \) in Rebalanced RSA-CRT will be of the same order of magnitude as \( \Phi \) (\( N \)). Improvement over this was done by Galbraith [13] and Sun [14] to further decrease the public exponent \( e \) that is much shorter than \( \Phi \) (\( N \)). It is further improved by Hinek in [15].

**F. RPrime RSA**

This variant[7] was proposed by Cesar Alison in 2002. The idea was to combine the two RSA variants Rebalanced RSA and Mprime RSA to further enhance the decryption speed. The general idea of this scheme is to use the key generation algorithm of Rebalanced RSA (modified for \( k \) primes) together with the decryption algorithm of Mprime RSA. The key generation, encryption and decryption algorithms are as follows:

**Key generation of RPrime RSA**

The key generation algorithm takes an integer \( s \leq n/k \) and executes the following steps:

**Step1.** Generate \( k \) distinct random primes of \( n/k \) bits \( p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_k \) with \( \gcd(p_1 - 1, p_2 - 1, \ldots, p_k - 1) = 2 \); and calculate \( N = p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot p_k \).
**Step2.** Generate \( k \) random numbers of \( s \)-bits \( d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_k \), such that \( \gcd(d_1 \mod p_1, p_1 - 1) = 1, \gcd(d_2 \mod p_2, p_2 - 1) = 1, \ldots, \gcd(d_k \mod p_k, p_k - 1) = 1 \) and \( d_1 \mod (p_1 - 1) = \ldots = d_k \mod (p_k - 1) = k \).
**Step3.** Calculate \( e = d^{-1} \mod \Phi(N) \).
**Public key = \( \langle N, e \rangle \) and Private key = \( \langle p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_k, d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_k \rangle \).

**Decryption of RPrime RSA**

Again, encrypting with the public key \( \langle N, e \rangle \) is identical to the original RSA. However, as in Rebalanced RSA, the public exponent \( e \) is much larger than the normally used \( e \), and thus, the entity encrypting the message \( M \) must be prepared to use such an exponent.

**Decryption of RPrime RSA**

Same as in MultiPrime RSA decryption.

**Performance of RPrime RSA**

The theoretical speedup (SQC), is therefore given by:

\[
S_{CRT} = |nk|/4s
\]

Comparison of all above variants is given in [11].

**G. RePowerRSA (Combined Approach of MPower RSA and Rebalanced RSA)**

In this approach [8] Rebalanced RSA [6] and MultiPower RSA [5] are combined to improve the decryption performance. The general idea of this scheme is to use the key generation algorithm of Rebalanced RSA with only two primes of \( n/k \) bits length and decryption algorithm of MultiPower RSA. The three algorithms for the new scheme are as follows:

**Key Generation**

**Step1.** Generate two distinct \([n/k]\)-bit primes \( p \) \& \( q \) with \( \gcd(p-1, q-1) = 2 \), and calculate \( N = p^q \).
**Step2.** Generate 2 random numbers \( d_p \) and \( d_q \) of \( s \)-bits \( s = n/k \) such that \( \gcd(\phi(p), p-1) = 1 \), \( \gcd(\phi(q), q-1) = 1 \) and \( d_p \mod (p - 1) \).
**Step3.** Find \( m \) such that \( m = d_p \mod (p - 1) \).
**Step4.** Calculate \( e = m \mod \Phi(N) \).
**Public key = \( \langle N, e \rangle \) and Private Key = \( \langle p, q, d_p, d_q \rangle \).

**Encryption**

Same as in standard RSA. But as we have shifted the cost from decryption side to encryption side, the value of public exponents will get increased. Due to the large value of \( e \) RSA encryption must be ready for the higher computational cost.

The Encryption Complexity = \(3n(2(n^2+2))o(n^2)\)

Which is approx. equal to \(3n,n^2-2n+o(n^2)\).

**Decryption**

Step1. Compute \( M_1 = C^e \mod p \) and \( M_2 = C^q \mod q \).
Step2. Thus \( M_i^2 = C \mod p \) and \( M_i^2 = C \mod q \).
Step 3. Using Hensel lifting [12] compute $M_1^*$, such that $(M_1^*)^e = C \mod p^{k-1}$.

Step 4. Using CRT, compute $M$ such that $M = M_1^* \mod p^{k-1}$ and $M = M_2 \mod q$. Then $M = C \cdot d \mod N$ is the required result.

**Performance**

For $k=3$, no of bits in public exponent $= n$, no. of bits in dp = s.

Complexity of Decryption algorithm is approx. $[8]$ and $[15] = (9s+12n+10)n^2/9+o(n^2)$.

The theoretical speedup of this scheme as with standard RSA with CRT is $S_{CRT}=nk^2/8s$.

For $k=3$ and $s=160$ and $n=1024$, the theoretical speedup over RSA with CRT is 7.20.

### III. DRSA Cryptosystem

The standard RSA and other variants are not semantically secure. Pointchev [9] gave a new Dependent RSA (DRSA) problem and proposed a cryptosystem with the property of semantic security. The three algorithms of key generation, encryption and decryption are of DRSA cryptosystem are explained below.

**Key Generation**

The key generation for DRSA [9] scheme is same as that for the standard RSA scheme. To generate keys in DRSA scheme, the user chooses two large primes $p$ and $q$ and computes $N = pq$. User then determines an integer $e$ less than and relatively prime to $\phi(N)$ and computes an integer $d$ such that $ed = 1 \mod \phi(N)$. The public key and the secret key for the user $R$ is $(e, N)$ and $(d, N)$ respectively. The prime $p$ and $q$ are also kept secret.

**Encryption**

To encrypt any plaintext $M \in \mathbb{Z}_N$, sender $S$ chooses a random integer $h \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ and computes $N = pq$. User then determines an integer $e$ less than and relatively prime to $\phi(N)$ and computes an integer $d$ such that $ed = 1 \mod \phi(N)$. The public key and the secret key for the user $R$ is $(e, N)$ and $(d, N)$ respectively. The prime $p$ and $q$ are also kept secret.

To encrypt any plaintext $M \in \mathbb{Z}_N$, sender $S$ first randomly selects an integer $l \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ and sends the complete ciphertext $(C_1, C_2)$ to the receiver $R$. Where, $C_1 = 1 \mod N$ and $C_2 = M (1 + l)^{e} \mod N$.

Encryption complexity:

Step 1: As this factor can be computed in advance, this step will not contribute in the complexity.

Step 2: exponentiation and multiplication $\{ (3n-2)(n^2+n)+[2n^2+2n] \}$

Here no of bits in $M$ and $l$ are considered approx equal to $n$ bits.

It simplifies to $3n^2n+o(n^2)$.

**Decryption**

To decrypt the ciphertext $(C_1, C_2)$, receiver $R$ first computes:

1. $C_2^s \mod n = 1$
2. $M = (C_2^s (1+l)^{e}) \mod N$.

Decryption Complexity:

Step 1: $(3n-2)(n^2+n)$

Step 2: exponentiation+inversion+multiplication $= (3n-2)(n^2+n)+20(2n^2+2n)+(2n^2+2n)$

Which simplifies to $(3n^2+3n^2+38)n^2+o(n^2)$.

### IV. NEW SCHEME

**Algorithm**

Here RePower RSA [8] is further extended to increase the encryption/signature verification performance with a very less compromising the decryption. In this approach the DRSA [9] cryptosystem is used with RePower RSA. The key generation, Encryption and the Decryption process are as follows.

**Key Generation**

The key generation algorithm describes the following steps:

- Generate two distinct $[nK]$-bit primes $p$ and $q$, with $gcd(p-1, q-1)=2$, and compute $N=p^{k-1}q$.
- Generate 2 random numbers $d_p$ and $d_q$ of $s$ bits ($s < n/k$) such that $gcd(d_p, p-1)=1$, $gcd(d_q, q-1)=1$ and $d = d_p \cdot d_q$.
- Find $d$ such that $d = d_p \mod p - 1, d = d_q \mod q - 1$.
- Calculate $e = d^{-1} \mod \phi(N)$.

Public key = $(N, e)$ and Private Key = $(p, q, d)$.

**Decryption**

To encrypt any message $M \in \mathbb{Z}_N$, sender $S$ chooses a random integer $h \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ and computes $N = pq$. Cipher text $(C_1, C_2)$ is sent to the receiver.

Complexity of Encryption Algorithm:

Step 1: This can be computed in advance so it will not contribute in the complexity.

Step 2: inversion+multiplication $20^s(2n^2+2n)+2n^2+2n$.

Which simplifies to $42n^2o(n^2)$.

**Semantic Security**

Semantic security is a widely-used definition for security in an asymmetric key encryption algorithm. For a cryptosystem to be semantically secure, it must be infeasible for a computationally-bounded adversary to derive significant information about a message (plaintext) when given only its cipher text and the corresponding public encryption key. The proposed cryptosystem is semantically secure against chosen plaintext attack. This we can say on the basis that in order to determine any information about the plaintext $M$ from the cipher text $(C_1, C_2)$, the adversary needs to have information about $h^{-1}$ (mod $N$), where this $h$ is a randomly chosen element in $\mathbb{Z}_N^*$. We cannot calculate the value of $h$ without knowing the value of the secret key $d$. Even the value of $h^{-1}$ (mod $N$) can’t be calculated even if we know partial information about $h$. Thus the given new scheme is semantically secure.
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C. Comparison with RePower RSA

Computational efficiency

In the proposed scheme, the random values $h$ can be taken in advance, thus the user has the values $(b+1)^e$ and $h^e$ computed well in advance. Thus time consumed during the encryption phase in the new scheme will be lowered. Because the value of $e$ is very large, so it saves a large amount of time during encryption. In this case, the encryption process requires only one multiplication modulo $N$ and that is quite affordable. Whereas in RePower RSA [8] scheme, having large value of the encryption exponent $e$, the encryption cost is very high. As compared to only one multiplication modulo $N$ in new scheme, RePower RSA requires one exponentiation to the power $e$ modulo $N$, resulting in poor performance of encryption side. Thus it can be concluded that the new scheme encryption phase has better performance than RePower RSA and of course than any other variants of RSA. This encryption performance enhancement is at the cost of slight increase in decryption cost. Besides other computation the proposed scheme requires to compute one extra multiplication modulo $N$ at the decryption process. Thus the decryption speed is computationally as expensive as RePower RSA.

In Table I $n_{e}=\text{no of bits in public exponent}$

\[ n_{d}=\text{no of bits in private exponent} \]

$s=\text{private factor less than n/3}$

In RePower RSA $n_{e}=n$, so it cost very high in Encryption process. But this factor is not involved in the new scheme, that’s why the encryption is very low as compared to RePower approach. This result in a small increase in cost of decryption side as shown in the Table I.

Semantic Security

The proposed scheme is semantically secure due to randomness added in the comutation, but RePower RSA is not semantically secure.

D. Comparison with DRSA scheme

Decryption Phase

Our proposed scheme is computationally less expensive than DRSA cryptosystem. In our proposed scheme, Hensel lifting is used, that is less expensive than the exponentiation. On average it is required to compute less than one exponent to the power $d$ modulo $N$ and one multiplication modulo $N$. Whereas in DRSA, decryption process requires to compute one exponentiation to the power $e$ and to the power $d$ modulo $N$, one inversion and one multiplication under modulo $N$. Hence our proposed scheme is more efficient than that of the D-RSA scheme.

Encryption Phase

The efficiency of encryption process of D-RSA and our proposed scheme both is same. In Table II $n_{e}=\text{no of bits in public exponent}$

\[ n_{d}=\text{no of bits in private exponent} \]

$s=\text{private factor less than n/3}$

As shown in the Table II, in new scheme the encryption complexity is almost same (in DRSA there is no constraint of $n_e$), whereas decryption cost is lower in new scheme as compared to DRSA.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comparison</th>
<th>DRSA</th>
<th>New Scheme</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Encryption Complexity</td>
<td>$(3n^2+18n^2+18n^2\log_2 n)$</td>
<td>$(9s+12n^2+18n^2\log_2 n)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comparison</th>
<th>DRSA</th>
<th>New Scheme</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Encryption Complexity</td>
<td>$3n^2+o(n^e)$</td>
<td>$42n^e+o(n^e)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper RSA public cryptosystem is explained with its combined variants of MultiPower RSA and Rebalanced RSA, i.e., RePower. Repower RSA gave the maximum decryption/signature generation performance of all the variants of RSA (Batch RSA, Multiprime RSA, MultiPower RSA, Rbalanced RSA, RPrime RSA). But this performance is at the cost of very high encryption/signature verification cost. The devices with constrained resources, like PDAs, are not always used for decryption/signature generation. There is the requirement that these constraint devices are used for encryption/signature verification as well. In that case the use of RePower RSA will not be giving good performance. DRSA is explained to provide the semantic security to the system. The proposed approach in the paper gives better encryption performance at the cost of a small decrease in decryption side. Besides it provides the semantic security to the system which is not provided by RePower RSA. The scheme is proven to be better than RePower RSA as well as to DRSA.
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